This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS.
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

JUN 2 8,2004

RECEIVED

JUL 9 2004

3628 \$0

IN 2 8 2004			JUL 9 2004				
			GROUP 3600				
RADEMAN		Application No.	09/820,574				
TRANSMITTAL F	ORM	Filing Date	March 28, 2001				
(to be used for all correspondence aft	er initial filing)	First Named Inventor	Reed Maltzman				
		Art Unit	3628				
		Examiner Name	Graham, Clement B.				
Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	sion 7	Attorney Docket Number	3801P040				
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Group				
Fee Attached	Licensing-r	related Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences				
Amendment / Response	Petition		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)	Petition to 0 Provisional	Convert a Application	Proprietary Information				
Extension of Time Request	Power of A Change of	ttorney, Revocation Correspondence Address					
Express Abandonment Request	Terminal D	isclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):				
Information Disclosure Statement	Request fo	r Refund	References and Letter to Examiner				
PTO/SB/08	CD, Number	er of CD(s)	LAMINICI				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)							
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Damadia						
Basic Filing Fee	Remarks						
Declaration/POA							
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			₹1				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Mark R. Vatuo	Wark R. Vatuolio, Rog. 140. 55,717						
or Individual name BLAKELY, SOKOŁOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP							
Signature Mail T. Valuare							
Date 6/23/04							
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.							
Typed or printed name Patricia A.	Balero						
Signature	20		Date (4)23 Get				

JUN 2 8 2004

RECEIVED

JUL 9 2004

THE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLU		*							CI		22200
TRANSMITTAL			Complete if Known					000			
for EV 2004			Application Number				320,574				
for FY 2004			Filing Date				rch 28, 200				
Effective 01/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.			First Named Inventor				d Maltzmar				
Applica	nt claims sm	nall entity status.	See 37 CFR 1.27.		Examiner	Name	9		ham, Cleme	ent B.	
TOTAL	MOUNT OF	DAVAFNIT	(#) 100		Art Unit			362			
TOTAL	MOUNTO	PAYMENT	(\$) 130.	.00	Attorney I	Docke	t No.	3801	P040		
METHO	D OF PAY	MENT (check	all that apply)				FE	E CALCULAT	ION (continu	ed)	
Check	Credit card	Money	Other None	3. A	ADDITIO	NAL	FEES	•			
Deposit Accou	int	Order		Larg	ge Entity	Sma	II Entity				
		-		Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee (f)	-			
Deposit Account		02-2666		Code	(\$)	Code	(\$)	Fe	e Description		Fee Paid
Number	-	02 2000		1051		2051	65	Surcharge - late filing	_		
Deposit Account p	Inlanta Cal	1-60 T1	0. 7. f I I D	1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provi cover sheet.	visional filing tee or		
Name B	iakely, So	koloff, Laylor	& Zafman LLP	2053	130	2053	130	Non-English specific	ation		
The Commissione	r is authorized	to: (check all that ap	ply)	1812		1812	2,520	For filing a request for		nation	
Charge fee(s)	indicated below	Cred	lit any overpayments	1804	920 *	1804	920 *		on of SIR prior to		
Charge any ad		r underpayment of fee	s as required under 37					Examiner action			
CFR §§ 1.16,	1.17, 1.18 and 1	.20.		1805	1,840°	1805	1,840 *	Requesting publication	on of SIR after		
	indicated below, Ientified deposit	except for the filing account	100	1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply w	ithin first month		-
	FFF C	ALCULATION		1251		2252	210	Extension for reply w			
4 PACIC	FILING F						475	Extension for reply w			
1. BASIC Large Entity		EE		1253		2253					
Fee Fee	Small Entity Fee Fee	Fee Description	Fee Paid	1254		2254	740	Extension for reply w			
Code (\$)	Code (\$)		recraid	1255		2255	1,005	Extension for reply wi	IUIII IIIUI IIIOIUI		<u> </u>
1001 770	2001 385	5 Utility filing fee		1404		2401	165	Notice of Appeal			
1002 340	2002 170	Design filing fee		1402		2402	165	Filing a brief in suppo	• •		
1003 530	2003 265	5 Plant filing fee		1403	290	2403	145	Request for oral hear	ring		
1004 770	2004 385	5 Reissue filing fee		1451	1,510	2451	1,510	Petition to institute a	public use proceed	ling	
1005 160	2005 80	Provisional filing f	fee	1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - ur	navoidable		
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)		1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive - ur	nintentional				
				1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or re	eissue)		
2. EXTRA	CLAIM F	EES Extra	Fee from	1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fee			
Total Claims		Claims	below Fee Paid	1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue fee			
Independent	20	* =	=	1460	130	2460	130	Petitions to the Com	missioner		130.00
Claims	3	=x	=	1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under	37 CFR 1.17(q)		
Multiple Dependent		1	=	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Inform	nation Disclosure St	tmt	
Large Entity	Small Entity	_		8021	40	8021	40	Recording each pate			
Fee Fee Code (\$)	Fee Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description						property (times numb			
,,,	`` ,	Claire 1	100	1809	770	1809	385	Filing a submission at (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	fter final rejection		
1202 18	2202 9	Claims in excess of		1810	770	2810		For each additional in	wention to be		
1201 86 1203 290	2201 43 2203 145	Independent claims Multiple Dependent		'0.0	,,,,	2010		examined (37 CFR §			
1203 290 1204 86	2203 145 2204 43		lent claims over original	1801	770	2801	385	Request for Continue	ed Examination (RC	E)	
.20, 00	-LU- 43	patent	and a second of the second	1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited			
1205 18	2205 9		excess of 20 and over	Other fe	ee (specify)			of a design applicatio	n .		├ ── !
'		original patent									
SUBTOTAL (2) *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (%) 420 0.00											
**or num	ber previously p	aid, if greater, For Rei	issues, see below	- Keduce	u by Basic Filinę	g ⊩ee Paio	נ		SUBTOTAL (3)	(\$)	130.00
SUBMITTE	D BY								Comp	lete (if applic	able)
Name (Print/Ty)		k R. Vatuone)		Registratio Attorney/Agei		5	3,719	Telephone	(408) 94	
Signature	Ver	land T. Va	Leune						Date	6/23/44	
ased on PTO/SP/17	(10.03) as mod	ified by Blakely, Salak	off, Taylor & Zafman (wir) 02/10	10004							

RECEIVED

JUL 9 2004

& /		Complete if Known	OAA'
*FEE TRANSMITTAL		Complete if Known	DUOT
	Application Number	09/820,574	
for FY 2004	Filing Date	March 28, 2001	
Effective 01/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	First Named Inventor	Reed Maltzman	
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.	Examiner Name	Graham, Clement B.	
TOTAL ANOUNT OF DAVAFAIT	Art Unit	3628	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 130.00	Attorney Docket No.	3801P040	J

TOTAL AMOUNT OF FATMENT	.00 /	Attorney I	роске	NO.	3801P040		
METHOD OF DAVINGNIT (short all the first)	-)				= 0.41 0.11 4=10.11 / 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)						
☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money ☐ Other ☐ None	3. A	DDITIO	NAL	FEES	5		
Deposit Account	Larg	e Entity	Smal	li Entity	•	•	
Z DOPOSIA NOCOSIA	Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee	_		
Deposit Account 02-2666	Code	(\$)	Code	(\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	
Account Number 02-2666	1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		
Deposit	1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or		
Account Name Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP		400		400	cover sheet.		
The Commissioner is authorized to: (check all that apply)	2053 1812	130 2,520	2053 1812	130 2.520	Non-English specification For filing a request for ex parte reexamination		
	1804	920*	1804		* Requesting publication of SIR prior to		
					Examiner action		
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayment of fees as required under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.20.	1805	1,840 *	1805	1,840	Requesting publication of SIR after		
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account	1				Examiner action		
	1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month		
FEE CALCULATION	1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month		
1. BASIC FILING FEE	1253	950	2253	475	Extension for reply within third month		
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Pair	1254	1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month		
Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Paid Code (5) Code (5)	1255	2.010	2255	1.005	Extension for reply within fifth month		
1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee	1404	330	2401	165	Notice of Appeal		
1002 340 2002 170 Design filing fee	1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		
1003 530 2003 265 Plant filing fee	1403	290	2403	145	Request for oral hearing		
1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee	1451	1,510	2451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding		
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee	1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable		
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)		1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive - unintentional		
	1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)		
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Extra Fee from	1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fee		
Total Claims below Fee Paid Total Claims =	1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue fee		
Independent Z Z Z Z	1460	130	2460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	130.00	
Claims X	1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)		
Multiple Dependent =	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		
Large Entity Small Entity	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per		
Fee Fee Fee Fee <u>Fee Description</u> Code (5)					property (times number of properties)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1809	770	1809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))		
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess of 20 1201 86 2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3	1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be		
1203 290 2203 145 Multiple Dependent claim, if not paid	I				examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))		
1204 86 2204 43 **Reissue independent claims over original	1801	770	2801	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)		
patent	1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination		
1205 18 2205 9 **Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over	Other fe	e (specify)			of a design application		
original patent							
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	*Reducer	l by Basic Filing	r Fee Pa≐t	1	SUBTOTAL (3)		
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see below		,	,. w. au		SUBTOTAL (3)	130.00	
SUBMITTED BY					Complete (if a	pplicable)	
	1.0		- A1-		1		

SUBMITTED E	BY			Comp	lete (if applicable)
Name (Print/Type)	Mark R. Vatuone	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	53,719	Telephone	(408) 947-8200
Signature	Ward W. Valeum			Date	6/23/44

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR @ZAFMAN

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING LAW CORPORATIONS

Telephone (408) 947-8200

BSTZ_MAIL@BSTZ.COM WWW.BSTZ.COM INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

60 SOUTH MARKET STREET
SUITE 510
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113-2392

OTHER OFFICES

Austin, TX Los Angeles, CA Sunnyvale, CA Orange County/Costa Mesa, CA San Diego/La Jolla, CA Portland/Lake Oswego, OR

SEATTLE, WA DENVER, CO

June 22, 2004

Via Express Mail and Facsimile

John J. Love: Technology Center (TC) Director 3600 2451 Crystal Drive Crystal Park 5 – Room 7A03 Arlington, VA 22202 RECEIVED

JUL 9 2004

GROUP 3600

Re:

U.S Patent Application Serial No. 09/820,574

METHOD AND SYSTEM TO ENABLE A FIXED PRICE PURCHASE WITHIN AN

ONLINE AUCTION ENVIRONMENT

Filed: March 28, 2001

Attorney Docket No.: 3801P040

Dear Examiner Love:

In response to the OG Notice of April 27, 2004 regarding the Pilot Program to permit a Pre-First Office Action Interview for Applications, I enclose herewith a Petition to Make Special for the above-mentioned case and request a pre-first Office Action interview.

If you have any questions please call me at 408 947-8200.

Very truly yours,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOEP, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Mark R. Vatuone

mark_vatuone@bstz.com

RECEIVED

JUL 9 2004

GROUP 3600

Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re of Application of:

REED MALTZMAN

Application No.: 09/820,574

Filing Date: March 28, 2001

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM TO ENABLE A

FIXED PRICE PURCHASE WITHIN AN ONLINE AUCTION ENVIRONMENT

Examiner: Graham, Clement B.

Art Group: 3628

1 hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

on June 23, 200

Patricia A. Balero
Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Signature)

(0)23/04/ Date

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

(A) FEE

Applicant hereby encloses a check in the amount of \$130.00 for the petition fee required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i). Furthermore, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and § 1.17 associated with this communication or any future communication in this or any related application filed pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.53 or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

(B) CLAIMS

All claims in this application are directed to a single invention.

If the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, Applicants will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status.

(C) SEARCH

A search was m	ade by:
[]	the inventor
[]	attorney or agent
[]	professional searcher
[]	foreign patent office
[X]	US as ISA for PCT/US01/31012
The field of sear	rch included:
[X]	classes/subclasses: IPC(7): G06F 17/60; US CL: 705/37
[]	publications
[]	foreign patents

(D) COPIES OF REFERENCES

Attached are two documents deemed by the USPTO, as ISA, to be of relevance. These documents include Mori et al., U.S. Patent 6,044,363 (hereinafter Mori) and a publication entitled "Autodaq Creates Online Marketplace For Used Auto Industry" (hereinafter Autodaq). The International Search Report (ISR), issued by the ISA, has categorized each the above documents as "X", which indicates "a document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone".

(E) DETAILED DISCUSSION OF THE REFERENCES

General Discussion of the Prior Art

A number of Internet-based auction facilities have recently become available to the public. Through such facilities a seller may electronically enter information about a product or service for potential buyers to bid on. While the services offered by the current Internet-based auction facilities work well for most bidders and sellers, there are several disadvantages. For example, many items in the Internet-based auction facility have at most a single bid pending during the specified auction time. The buyer that has made the sole bid must consequently wait, sometimes days, for the auction to end even though there are no other bidders to bid on the item.

In response to the above-described problem various auction sites have enabled buyers to buy a product while an auction is in progress. Nevertheless, this approach is not without problems and may result in a disappointed bidder. For example, a bidder may be participating in an auction that suddenly ends because a buyer makes an instant purchase. Some bidders have said that they feel like the auction was "taken" from them. Other bidders report a feeling of being "robbed".

Patent Application

A discussion of claim 1 follows. The other independent claims are distinguishable over the prior art for reasons similar to these set out below.

To anticipate a claim, a reference must teach every element of the claim.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

Claim 1 requires:

A method of facilitating an electronic transaction, the method comprising:

presenting, via a first computer system, both an auction purchase process and a fixed-price purchase process for purchase of an offering to a buyer; and

responsive to receipt of a bid from the buyer as part of the auction purchase process, removing presentation of the fixed-price purchase process.

Autodaq

Autodaq fails to disclose removing a presentation of a fixed-price purchase process responsive to receipt of a bid from a buyer, the bid being part of an auction purchase process. Autodaq discloses an Internet trading marketplace that provides buyers with two options for purchasing vehicles: buying and bidding. Buyers have access to an extensive online inventory of used vehicles with options for buying, bidding, notification, reporting, shipping and delivery; however, Autodaq does not disclose the removal of a presentation of a fixed-price purchase process, much less a removal that is responsive to receipt of a bid from a buyer.

Autodaq does disclose that a buyer may, by paying a flat price (e.g., buying), immediately remove a vehicle from <u>auction</u> (Autodaq, paragraph 13). However, claim 1 does not require removing a vehicle from auction; but rather, removing a presentation of a <u>fixed-price</u> purchase process. Clearly removing a presentation of a <u>fixed-price</u> purchase process is not the same as removal of a vehicle from an <u>auction</u>. Autodaq simply does not disclose removing a presentation of a fixed-price purchase process responsive to receipt of a bid from a buyer, as required by claim 1.

Thus, the limitations of claim 1 are not disclosed in Autodaq. It follows that claim 1 is novel and not anticipated by Autodaq.

Mori

Mori fails to disclose removing a presentation of a fixed-price purchase process responsive to receipt of a bid from a buyer, the bid being part of an auction purchase process. Mori discloses an automatic auction method that enables bidders to leave their respective auction terminals while an auction is in process. More specifically, Mori discloses an inventory, offered for sale by a seller, that may be automatically auctioned to one or more "purchasing persons" each of which specify a "rule list" from their respective auction terminals. Indeed, Mori is solely focused on an <u>automatic auction method</u> as the title of Mori suggests and does not disclose a <u>fixed-price purchase process</u>, much less the removal of a presentation of a fixed-price purchase process, and much less a removal that is responsive to receipt of a bid from a buyer

Thus, the limitations of claim 1 are not disclosed in Mori. It follows that claim 1 is novel and not anticipated by Mori.

In view of the above remarks, the application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (408) 947-8200 ext. 204.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: <u>6/23</u> 200

12400 Wilshire Blvd. Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 (408) 947-8200 Mark R. Vatuone Reg. No. 53,719

Fults, Richard

From:

Roberts, Ginger (ASRC)

Sent:

Tuesday, December 04, 2001 3:18 PM

To: Subject: Fults, Richard AutoDag

?t 00172853/7

00172853/7 DIALOG(R)File 610:Business Wire

(c) 2001 Business Wire. All rts. reserv.

00172853 20000117017.B1219 (THIS IS THE FULLTEXT)
Autodaq Creates Online Marketplace For Used Auto Industry
Business Wire
Monday, January 17, 2000 09:07 EST
WORD COUNT: 945

TFXT.

SAN MATEO, Calif., Jan 17, 2000 (BUSINESS WIRE) - Silicon Valley-Based Startup Moves \$93 Billion

Used Auto Auction Market to the Internet

The used automobile industry took a giant leap online today with the launch of Autodaq, a San Mateo, Calif.-based automotive e-commerce company that has created an Internet trading marketplace with a dramatic new level of efficiency for businesses that buy and sell used vehicles.

Autodaq (www.autodaq.com) is the online "hub" serving dealerships and other businesses that traditionally buy and sell used vehicles primarily through physical automobile auctions - a \$93 billion market wrought with inefficiencies. The company, which was founded by a foursome with a collective diverse background in automotive, finance and high technology, is financially backed by August Capital, represented by David F. Marquardt, an experienced venture investor with many notable successes such as Microsoft, Sun, Tumbleweed and Cobalt Networks.

"The traditional method by which these businesses buy and sell used vehicles today is highly fragmented, inefficient and, thus, unnecessarily costly for both buyers and sellers," Autodaq CEO, President and co-founder Adam Boyden said. "We have created a Web-based marketplace that greatly increases the number of buyers and sellers participating in a virtual auction to improve the bottom line for all participants."

The total cost for completing a vehicle sale through the physical auction process currently represents approximately 10 percent of the automobile's value, nearly \$10 billion annually. Costs include but are not limited to: cost of capital, depreciation, transportation of the vehicle, auction fees, reconditioning and search costs.

Autodaq's technology and related services are designed to eliminate up

to 50 percent of those supply chain costs, or about \$5 billion annually. Autodaq's full suite of Internet-based offerings include proprietary auction functionality and facilitation of transportation, inspection, title processing, single-point viewing and access to more extensive, granular information.

Sellers - financial institutions, manufacturers and other fleet, lease and rental operators - increase profitability and ROI by gaining access to a larger buyer pool capable of bidding on each vehicle; selling vehicles more quickly, thus reducing depreciation and freeing up capital, creating efficiencies in reconditioning, detailing and transportation costs. Major sellers currently doing business through Autodaq include Bank One and World Omni Financial Corp.

Buyers - franchised, independent and Internet-based dealers, and wholesalers - increase profitability by gaining real-time access to an extensive online inventory of used vehicles, as well as the option of instant buying ("Click and Buy") and/or bidding, notification when desired vehicles become available, instantaneous reporting and shipping and delivery.

"Part of Autodaq's focus is the dealer community," Boyden said.
"Autodaq presents an avenue by which dealers can embrace the Internet and use it to their advantage, lowering the costs of attaining the high-quality used vehicles their customers most desire."

Bank One, which has partnered with Autodaq in development and pilot testing, already is benefiting from the service.

"So far, we have seen a gain of several hundred dollars per off-lease vehicle that we sell through Autodaq," said Keith Kendrick, president of Bank One's eCommerce unit. "That's mostly because of lower transportation and auction costs and a faster sale that reduces capital and inventory costs. We also have seen better sales prices. We expect that to continue as Autodaq's online auction grows, because many more buyers will view and bid on our inventory than can do so at a physical auction.

"Dealers who have bought our vehicles through Autodaq say they love the system. It's a win-win for us and our dealers."

Autodaq is Web-based, meaning that customers only need Internet access to connect to the site; no additional software or hardware is required. Autodaq provides a "biography" of each vehicle based on detailed configuration and condition information, including all options gathered by recognized independent inspection companies. Photographs of vehicles can also be placed online.

Buyers have two options for purchasing vehicles, both of which are available 24 hours a day; buying with a simple click or submitting a bid. Through Autodag's proprietary "Click & Buy" function, buyers can obtain a vehicle by paying a flat price set by the seller, thus immediately removing that vehicle from auction. Buyers also can bid on vehicles. The minimum escalation bid is \$100 and bidders can monitor each auction in real time throughout the day, increasing their bid at will. The winner of each auction is notified when the auction closes at 8 p.m. PT daily.

Unlike traditional auto auctions and online marketplaces, Autodaq is not a matching service. Included in Autodaq's full service offering, transportation prices are listed on the site on a vehicle-by-vehicle basis and delivery is arranged by Autodaq after the vehicle is purchased. Vehicles sold through Autodaq are transported directly to the dealer. Delivery of title and other official documents occur separately following receipt of payment.

"This is one of the most compelling business models I've seen, and I've seen a few," August Capital's Marquardt said. "Autodaq is a winner."

Founded in July 1999, San Mateo, Calif.-based Autodaq (www.autodaq.com) is transforming the used automobile industry by establishing an Internet marketplace with a dramatic new level of efficiency for businesses that buy and sell used vehicles. Autodaq provides online services and technologies that significantly reduce costs while increasing profitability by eliminating chronic inefficiencies for financial institutions, manufacturers and other fleet, lease and rental operators, and franchised, independent and Internet-based auto dealers and wholesalers. Autodaq is a privately held company; its investors include August Capital, Zilkha Ventures and several angel investors from the Internet and automotive industries.

Copyright (C) 2000 Business Wire. All rights reserved.

Distributed via COMTEX.

-0-

CONTACT: Autodag

Jack Farmer, 314/982-8630

or

Brian Baker, 415/356-1003

GEOGRAPHY:

CALIFORNIA

INDUSTRY CODE: AUTOMOTIVE

INTERNET E-COMMERCE

Copyright (c) 2000 Business Wire. All rights reserved.

Ginger D. Roberts EIC 2100 - 4B30 (703) 308-7795